Application No. 10/642,293 Amendment dated 7 July 2005 ' Reply to Office Action of 7 April 2005 Page 5 of 6

## REMARKS

## Claims 1, 8-10 and 17

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 8-10 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), contending that the subject matter of these claims would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art in light of U.S. patent No. 5,515,648 to Sparkes and U.S. patent No. 5,097,627 to Roberts.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the "plants grow in said containers in a direction radially inwardly of said cylindrical shell". Also, claims 1 and 17 have been amended to replace the term —cylindrical structure— with "cylindrical shell".

Sparkes discloses an apparatus for growing plants which comprises a plurality of plant supporting elements in the form of cylinders (16) which extend between two end frames (11). Each cylinder houses a plurality of sockets (20) "extending in radial directions" to receive plant holders (21) for growing plants (25). (See col. 4, ln 12-14). The structure disclosed by Sparkes causes "the plants to grow substantially straight stems extending radially from the cylinders 16." (Se col. 4, ln 62-64, and Figure 3). Thus, Sparkes teaches away from an apparatus wherein the "plants grow in said containers in a direction radially inwardly of said cylindrical shell," as recited in amended claim 1.

Roberts discloses a cellular water table having divergent grow lines along which plants are moved in plant holders. Roberts does not disclose a rotary plant growing apparatus, and certainly does not suggest an apparatus wherein the "plants grow in said containers in a direction radially inwardly of said cylindrical shell," as recited in amended claim 1.

Accordingly, the Applicant submits that amended claim 1, as well as claims 8-10 and 17 which depend therefrom, are patentable over the cited references.

## Claims 2-7 and 11-13

Claims 2-7 and 11-13 were withdrawn pursuant to the species election in the Amendment dated 29 June 2004 pending allowance of a generic claim. The Applicant submits that amended claim 1 is generic to all of species I to IV identified by the Examiner and is allowable for the reasons discussed above.

Application No. 10/642,293 Amendment dated 7 July 2005 Reply to Office Action of 7 April 2005

Page 6 of 6

Accordingly, the Applicant submits that claims 2-7 and 11-13 which depend from amended claim 1 are entitled to consideration as provided by 37 CFR 1.141 and requests rejoinder of these claims. To the extent that the Examiner considers claims 2-7 and 11-13 to be otherwise allowable, the Examiner is hereby authorized to amend claims 2, 4, 5 and 11 to replace the term --cylindrical structure-- with "cylindrical shell", such that these claims comply with 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

## Conclusions

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of this application in light of the foregoing amendments and comments.

Respectfully submitted,

OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA LLP

By:

Richard A. Johnson

Régistration No. 56,080

tel: 604.669.3432 ext. 9046

fax: 604.681.4081

e-mail: docket3@nate